1 VICKI H. YOUNG Law Offices of Vicki H. Young 706 Cowper Street, Suite 205 Palo Alto, California 94301 3 Telephone (415) 421-4347 4 Counsel for Defendant Rachel Ochoa 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 10-00846 JW 11 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE OPOSED ORDER 12 VS. 13 Rachel Ochoa, 14 Defendant. 15 16 It is hereby stipulated between the United States of America, by and through Assistant 17 United States Attorneys Jeffrey Schenk and defendant Rachel Ochoa, through her attorney Vicki H. 18 Young, that the status date of February 14, 2011, be continued to March 7, 2011. 19 The reason for this continuance is that defense counsel Vicki H. Young is involved in a 20 lengthy jury trial before Hon. Ronald M. Whyte and will not be able to appear on Feb. 14. 2011. 21 The trial is expected to last to the week of February 22, 2011. In the slight possibility that the trial 22 will not be concluded by February 28, 2011, it is requested that the status date in the instant matter 23 be continued to the following Monday March 7, 2011. 24 The parties stipulate that the time between February 14, 2011, and March 7, 2011, shall be 25 excluded from the period of time within which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 26 STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 1 -

1	U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(A), considering		
2	the factors set forth in Section 3161(h)(8)(B). As required by 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv), it is		
3	stipulated that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a		
4	speedy trial and the denial of the stipulation to continue the status hearing would unreasonably deny		
5	the defendants reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of the pretrial motions and		
6	defense, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would deny the defendant continuity		
7	of counsel. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
8		It is so stipulated.	
9	Dated:	February 9, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
10			/s/ Vicki H. Young
11 12			VICKI H. YOUNG, ESQ. Attorney for Rachel Ochoa
13	Dated:	February 9, 2011	MELINDA HAAG
14	Butcu.	1 cordary 9, 2011	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
15			
16			<u>/s/ Jeffrey Schenk</u> JEFFREY SCHENK
17			Assistant United States Attorney
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  V.  RACHEL OCHOA,  Defendant.  FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH ABOVE IN THE STIPULATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status date of February 14, 2011, is continued to March 7, 2011, at 1;30 p.m.  The Court finds the time between February 14, 2011, and March 7, 2011, is excludable from the Speedy Trial Act requirements of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161 pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the time is excludable in that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in an earlier trial specifically based on the unavailability of defense counsel due to her trial schedule. For these reasons, there is good cause for the continuance and failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny the government and the defendants reasonable time necessary for effective case preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence under 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).  IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATE: _February 10, 2011_  AMES WARE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE			
26				
	STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 3 -			